



Effect of Seed Treatments and Containers on Chilli and Brinjal Seed Viability

Sunil Kumar^{1*}, Suryapal Singh¹ and S. S. Jakhar¹

¹Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among three authors. Author SK designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author SSJ guided during whole period of study and author SK and SS managed the analyses of the study. Authors SK and SSJ managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2019/v36i230221

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Aydin Unay, Professor, Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Aydin Adnan Menderes, Turkey.

Reviewers:

(1) Charles Afriyie-Debrah, CSIR, Ghana.

(2) R. Mahalakshmi, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49256>

Original Research Article

Received 02 April 2019

Accepted 16 June 2019

Published 24 June 2019

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to investigate the influence of fungicides and packaging materials on longevity of chilli (variety: RCH-1) and brinjal (variety: Hisar Shyamal) seeds. The seeds were treated with 15 fungicides and were kept in three containers viz. Metal box, Cloth bag and Plastic zipling bag up to 12 months under ambient conditions in seed pathology laboratory of Department of Seed Science & Technology, CCSHAU, Hisar, India. The samples were drawn at quarterly intervals for ascertaining the seed quality parameters. The seeds treated with flusilazole and carbendazim (2 g kg⁻¹ seed) in case of chilli and brinjal and stored in metal-box were found better for maintenance of higher seed quality parameters [electrical conductivity and dehydrogenase activity] during the study period. The study suggested that use of appropriate packaging material and seed treatment could be useful to prolong the storage life of chilli and brinjal seeds.

Keywords: Chilli; brinjal; containers; fungicides; seed quality; storage.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: maliksunil25@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

India's horticulture production with about 305.4 million tons during 2017-18 has not only brought prosperity to small and marginal farmers but also provided food and nutritional security to the Nation. As the second largest producer of Fruits & Vegetables in the world, India's horticulture has today emerged as one of the vibrant parts of Indian agriculture [1]. Chilli and Brinjal are among important vegetable crops and belongs to family Solanaceae. No culinary preparation can be completed without the addition of chilli. Being an indispensable item in the kitchen, it has become the most important spice crop in the world. The purple colour of brinjal is due to the presence of anthocyanin pigment, while white fruit lacks this pigment. Pigments represent a subtype of secondary metabolites that have direct influence on the survivability of a plant [2]. The violet colour is due to anthocyanin pigments, which are flavonoids (polyphenolic compounds), located in the cell vacuoles of the fruit epicarp (skin). The more cell layers contain the pigments and the more pigments, the darker the fruit. For brinjal the main aglycone of anthocyanin is the delphinidol, of bluish-violetish colour [3].

Seed is a miracle of life and the carrier of technology from one generation to another. Serving as the first line of defense, seed treatment can improve germination, seedling emergence, stand establishment and plant vigour. Seed treatment with fungicides not only controls the seed-borne diseases but also improves seed health, plant stand and crop yield [4].

It also reported that the proper storage condition and storage containers can maintain seed health status as well as seed viability and vigour in okra [5]. Therefore the study entitled the "Effect of seed treatments and containers on chilli and brinjal seed viability" was carried out [6].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out on chilli seed (variety: RCH-1) and brinjal seed (variety: Hisar Shyamal-8) having seed germination 79 and 81 per cent, respectively above Indian Minimum Seed Certification Standards (IMSCS). The seeds were treated with fifteen fungicides @ 2 g

kg-1 seed and kept in the Metal box, Cloth bag and Plastic zipling bag (40 microns) under ambient conditions in seed pathology laboratory of Department of Seed Science & Technology, CCSHAU, Hisar, India. The study was conducted up to twelve months to assess the effect of fungicides and containers on chilli and brinjal seed quality parameters.

The seeds and fungicide were weighed 21g and 0.042 g, respectively of each crop chilli and brinjal, wearing gloves using the appropriate weighing balance for each treatment. The seeds and fungicides were mixed in beakers and shaken for some time for uniform distribution all over the seeds. All the fungicides were in powder formulation except famoxadone 16.6% + cymoxanil 22.1% SL, which was measured by micro-pipette and mixed thoroughly. Then, the treated seeds were kept in different containers (metal box, cloth bag, and plastic zipling bag) in the laboratory under ambient conditions. The total numbers of treatments were 48 with three replications.

The experiment consisted of two factors (three different packing materials as storage container were used as level factor "C" and the sixteen fungicides treatments were used as the level factor "T") were laid out in completely randomized design (CRD). Seeds were taken from each of the different containers at quarterly intervals up to twelve months and observations were recorded for seed technological parameters viz., electrical conductivity and dehydrogenase activity test.

2.1 Electrical Conductivity Test

The electrical conductivity of the seed leachates was measured to know the status of membrane permeability as per ISTA [7]. For this, 50 seeds selected randomly replicated thrice from each seed lot were soaked in separate beakers each containing 75 ml of distilled water. The seeds were immersed completely in water and beakers were covered with the foil. Thereafter, these samples were kept in the germinator at 25+1°C for 24 h. The electrical conductivity of seed leachates was measured by 60 (EC) direct reading conductivity meter. The conductivity was expressed in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$.

2.2 Dehydrogenase Activity (DHA) Test (OD g⁻¹ ml⁻¹)

The method was suggested by Kittock and Law [8]. To conduct the DHA test, the representative seed samples of treatments, replicated thrice were grounded to pass through the 20-mesh screen. The 200 mg flour was soaked in 5 ml of freshly prepared 0.5 percent triphenyl tetrazolium chloride solution having pH 7.0. After shaking, the mixture was incubated at a temperature of 38°C for 2 h. then it was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 3 minutes and the supernatant was poured off. The formazan was extracted with 10 ml acetone for 16 h at room temperature. It was then centrifuged for 3 minutes at 10,000 rpm and acetone solution containing formazan was transferred to the cuvette. The absorbance reading of the solution was taken at 480 nm wavelength using systronic spectrophotometer 169. These observations were expressed as change in OD g⁻¹ ml⁻¹.

The data obtained from the experiments were analyzed as per standard method [9].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Chilli

As indicated in Table 2 that among the fungicides, the lowest electrical conductivity was observed in the treatment with flusilazole and

was followed by tebuconazole and among the containers, cloth bag was found better statistically at par with the metal box. Interaction effect of the metal box with flusilazole was found better. The results are in accordance with the earlier findings [10,11,12].

The perusal of data in Table 3 indicates that the dha values were recorded highest when seeds were treated with flusilazole which was statistically at par with tebuconazole. Among containers, plastic zipling bag which was statistically at par with cloth bag. Interaction effect of plastic zipling bag with flusilazole was found better than others. The results are in conformity with the findings [13 and 14].

3.2 Brinjal

As illustrated in Table 4 among the fungicides, the lowest electrical conductivity was found in the treatment with carbendazim and second lowest with tebuconazole. Among containers, plastic zipling bag was found better. Interaction effect of the metal box with carbendazim was found better. The results are similar as in the earlier finding [15].

The data in Table 5 shows that the DHA values were found highest with carbendazim treatment followed by dimethomorph. Among containers, metal box proved better. Interaction effect of

Table 1. Seed and fungicide details

Treatments	Fungicides @ 2 g kg ⁻¹ seed	Treatments	Fungicides @ 2 g kg ⁻¹ seed
T ₁	Untreated (Control)	T ₉	Kitazine 48% EC
T ₂	Carbendazim 75% WP	T ₁₀	Propineb 70% WP
T ₃	Tebuconazole 2 DS	T ₁₁	Dimethomorph 50% WP
T ₄	Difenoconazole 25% EC	T ₁₂	Chlorothalonil 78.2% WP
T ₅	Propiconazole 25% EC	T ₁₃	Captan 70% + Hexaconazole 5% WP
T ₆	Tricyclazole 75% WP	T ₁₄	Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP
T ₇	Flusilazole 40% EC	*T ₁₅	Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22.1% SL
T ₈	Azoxystrobin 23% SC	T ₁₆	Flusilazole 12.5% + Carbendazim 25% SE

Systemic fungicides from T₂ to T₁₂ and Combi-fungicides from T₁₃ to T₁₆

* Combi-fungicide T₁₅ was used @ 2 ml kg⁻¹ seed

Table 2. Effect of seed treatments with fungicides and containers on electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) in chilli seeds

Treatment	3 Months				6 Months				9 Months				12 Months			
	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean
T1	0.768	0.801	0.790	0.786	0.896	0.921	0.872	0.896	1.436	1.534	1.471	1.480	2.134	2.012	2.212	2.119
T2	0.629	0.688	0.643	0.653	0.767	0.596	0.723	0.695	0.942	1.211	0.988	1.047	1.638	1.721	1.726	1.695
T3	0.470	0.506	0.501	0.492	0.522	0.563	0.635	0.573	0.714	0.772	0.779	0.755	1.306	1.374	1.336	1.339
T4	0.529	0.543	0.578	0.550	0.734	0.735	0.716	0.728	0.883	0.972	0.921	0.925	1.627	1.724	1.624	1.658
T5	0.553	0.552	0.573	0.560	0.890	0.668	0.582	0.713	1.306	0.987	1.123	1.139	1.289	1.338	1.435	1.354
T6	0.727	0.741	0.740	0.736	0.892	0.584	0.666	0.714	1.023	0.865	0.874	0.921	1.586	1.451	1.424	1.487
T7	0.426	0.418	0.436	0.427	0.499	0.537	0.581	0.539	0.707	0.749	0.751	0.736	1.230	1.233	1.289	1.251
T8	0.643	0.608	0.585	0.612	0.595	0.653	0.755	0.668	0.768	1.321	0.883	0.991	1.339	1.449	1.344	1.377
T9	0.574	0.602	0.647	0.608	0.798	0.725	0.611	0.711	0.983	1.265	0.963	1.070	1.889	1.764	1.675	1.776
T10	0.549	0.588	0.593	0.577	0.735	0.893	0.862	0.830	0.943	0.936	1.121	1.000	1.697	1.764	1.765	1.742
T11	0.493	0.621	0.577	0.564	0.668	0.765	0.882	0.772	0.846	0.956	0.965	0.922	1.368	1.517	1.423	1.436
T12	0.426	0.668	0.618	0.571	0.584	0.752	0.898	0.745	0.780	0.894	0.972	0.882	1.993	1.901	1.987	1.960
T13	0.562	0.570	0.697	0.610	0.750	0.732	0.798	0.760	0.952	0.957	0.823	0.911	1.425	1.542	1.651	1.539
T14	0.483	0.490	0.544	0.506	0.653	0.896	0.732	0.760	0.832	0.823	0.971	0.875	1.321	1.423	1.421	1.388
T15	0.533	0.542	0.482	0.519	0.725	0.792	0.755	0.757	0.937	0.932	1.129	0.999	1.661	1.786	1.678	1.708
T16	0.488	0.490	0.438	0.472	0.602	0.874	0.767	0.748	1.098	0.956	0.998	1.017	1.424	1.543	1.423	1.463
Mean	0.553	0.589	0.590		0.707	0.730	0.740		0.947	1.008	0.983		1.558	1.596	1.588	
CD (P=0.05)	C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T	
	0.012	0.028	0.048		0.007	0.016	0.028		0.007	0.017	0.029		0.018	0.041	0.071	

C1: Metal box; C2: Cloth bag; C3: Plastic zipling bag (40 microns)

T1: Untreated (Control); T2: Carbendazim 75% WP; T3: Tebuconazole 2 DS; T4: Difenoconazole 25% EC; T5: Propiconazole 25% EC; T6: Tricyclazole 75% WP; T7: Flusilazole 40% EC; T8: Azoxystrobin 23% SC; T9: Kitazine 48% EC; T10: Propineb 70% WP; T11: Dimethomorph 50% WP; T12: Chlorothalonil 78.2% WP; T13: Captan 70% + Hexaconazole 5% WP; T14: Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63 % WP; T15: Famoxadone 16.6 % + Cymoxanil 22.1% SL; T16: Flusilazole 12.5% + Carbendazim 25% SE

Table 3. Effect of seed treatments with fungicides and containers on dehydrogenase activity (OD g⁻¹ ml⁻¹) in chilli seeds

Treatment	3 Months				6 Months				9 Months				12 Months			
	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean
T1	0.340	0.329	0.331	0.333	0.271	0.232	0.262	0.255	0.218	0.210	0.230	0.219	0.104	0.101	0.107	0.104
T2	0.351	0.320	0.307	0.326	0.267	0.278	0.265	0.27	0.229	0.222	0.165	0.205	0.127	0.121	0.121	0.123
T3	0.378	0.364	0.370	0.371	0.298	0.273	0.276	0.282	0.253	0.261	0.253	0.256	0.163	0.179	0.190	0.177
T4	0.317	0.314	0.340	0.324	0.278	0.271	0.267	0.272	0.235	0.240	0.245	0.240	0.112	0.114	0.132	0.119
T5	0.347	0.345	0.360	0.351	0.281	0.268	0.298	0.282	0.218	0.231	0.251	0.233	0.133	0.135	0.143	0.137
T6	0.299	0.309	0.300	0.303	0.267	0.265	0.251	0.261	0.202	0.241	0.192	0.212	0.127	0.129	0.114	0.123
T7	0.396	0.379	0.384	0.386	0.296	0.289	0.288	0.291	0.276	0.270	0.271	0.272	0.182	0.197	0.198	0.192
T8	0.337	0.324	0.312	0.324	0.259	0.276	0.271	0.269	0.198	0.213	0.231	0.214	0.113	0.139	0.120	0.124
T9	0.343	0.319	0.341	0.334	0.267	0.261	0.267	0.265	0.195	0.199	0.234	0.209	0.109	0.131	0.110	0.117
T10	0.302	0.309	0.321	0.311	0.268	0.257	0.269	0.265	0.237	0.221	0.215	0.224	0.107	0.129	0.146	0.127
T11	0.358	0.304	0.353	0.338	0.272	0.275	0.251	0.266	0.221	0.219	0.237	0.226	0.119	0.121	0.118	0.119
T12	0.315	0.299	0.327	0.314	0.273	0.28	0.266	0.273	0.231	0.227	0.219	0.226	0.108	0.112	0.112	0.111
T13	0.298	0.320	0.301	0.306	0.274	0.277	0.278	0.276	0.229	0.211	0.211	0.217	0.079	0.117	0.132	0.109
T14	0.295	0.354	0.348	0.332	0.263	0.244	0.261	0.256	0.203	0.205	0.201	0.203	0.097	0.127	0.110	0.111
T15	0.317	0.355	0.311	0.328	0.275	0.269	0.245	0.263	0.212	0.209	0.199	0.207	0.109	0.102	0.143	0.118
T16	0.313	0.316	0.302	0.310	0.267	0.278	0.277	0.274	0.219	0.229	0.213	0.220	0.119	0.105	0.113	0.112
Mean	0.332	0.329	0.332		0.273	0.268	0.268		0.224	0.226	0.223		0.119	0.129	0.132	
CD (P=0.05)	C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T	
	0.006	0.015	0.026		0.007	0.018	0.021		0.008	0.018	0.002		0.007	0.016	0.002	

C1: Metal box; C2: Cloth bag; C3: Plastic zipling bag (40 microns)

T1: Untreated (Control); T2: Carbendazim 75% WP; T3: Tebuconazole 2 DS; T4: Difenoconazole 25% EC; T5: Propiconazole 25% EC; T6: Tricyclazole 75% WP; T7: Flusilazole 40% EC; T8: Azoxystrobin 23% SC; T9: Kitazine 48% EC; T10: Propineb 70% WP; T11: Dimethomorph 50% WP; T12: Chlorothalonil 78.2% WP; T13: Captan 70% + Hexaconazole 5% WP; T14: Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP; T15: Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22.1% SL; T16: Flusilazole 12.5% + Carbendazim 25% SE

Table 4. Effect of seed treatments with fungicides and containers on electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}/\text{g}$) in brinjal seeds

Treatment	3 Months				6 Months				9 Months				12 Months			
	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean
T1	0.321	0.303	0.319	0.314	0.536	0.602	0.587	0.575	0.834	0.927	0.865	0.875	1.040	0.998	1.090	1.043
T2	0.196	0.190	0.200	0.195	0.394	0.395	0.389	0.392	0.458	0.493	0.457	0.470	0.712	0.702	0.690	0.701
T3	0.288	0.301	0.293	0.294	0.446	0.502	0.482	0.477	0.544	0.596	0.597	0.579	0.743	0.756	0.842	0.780
T4	0.311	0.246	0.237	0.265	0.390	0.410	0.401	0.400	0.591	0.574	0.658	0.608	0.844	0.843	0.919	0.869
T5	0.233	0.222	0.377	0.277	0.394	0.455	0.435	0.428	0.640	0.621	0.762	0.674	0.932	0.931	0.994	0.952
T6	0.298	0.231	0.271	0.267	0.471	0.501	0.492	0.488	0.584	0.576	0.721	0.627	0.783	0.782	0.839	0.801
T7	0.206	0.253	0.248	0.236	0.415	0.434	0.415	0.421	0.613	0.612	0.546	0.590	0.834	0.838	0.798	0.823
T8	0.305	0.282	0.201	0.263	0.490	0.515	0.498	0.501	0.498	0.509	0.578	0.528	0.896	0.894	0.884	0.891
T9	0.219	0.219	0.244	0.227	0.433	0.466	0.470	0.456	0.508	0.578	0.509	0.532	0.772	0.771	0.913	0.819
T10	0.282	0.299	0.288	0.290	0.358	0.411	0.398	0.389	0.572	0.546	0.612	0.577	0.843	0.842	0.897	0.861
T11	0.253	0.302	0.311	0.289	0.393	0.434	0.414	0.414	0.516	0.721	0.576	0.604	0.921	0.919	0.837	0.892
T12	0.300	0.288	0.300	0.296	0.423	0.456	0.426	0.435	0.730	0.762	0.621	0.704	0.994	0.965	0.784	0.914
T13	0.222	0.261	0.212	0.232	0.419	0.554	0.504	0.492	0.742	0.658	0.574	0.658	0.838	0.837	0.932	0.869
T14	0.246	0.219	0.264	0.243	0.444	0.496	0.462	0.467	0.688	0.723	0.964	0.792	0.798	0.799	0.844	0.814
T15	0.301	0.206	0.200	0.236	0.476	0.432	0.402	0.437	0.701	0.598	0.672	0.657	0.884	0.885	0.743	0.837
T16	0.277	0.233	0.291	0.267	0.418	0.546	0.455	0.473	0.586	0.645	0.821	0.684	0.912	0.913	0.986	0.937
Mean	0.266	0.253	0.266		0.431	0.476	0.452		0.613	0.634	0.658		0.859	0.855	0.875	
CD (P=0.05)	C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T	
	0.007	0.004	0.002		0.013	0.03	0.052		0.007	0.016	0.028		0.007	0.015	0.026	

C1: Metal box; C2: Cloth bag; C3: Plastic zipling bag (40 microns)

T1: Untreated (Control); T2: Carbendazim 75% WP; T3: Tebuconazole 2 DS; T4: Difenoconazole 25% EC; T5: Propiconazole 25% EC; T6: Tricyclazole 75% WP; T7: Flusilazole 40% EC; T8: Azoxystrobin 23% SC; T9: Kitazine 48% EC; T10: Propineb 70% WP; T11: Dimethomorph 50% WP; T12: Chlorothalonil 78.2% WP; T13: Captan 70% + Hexaconazole 5% WP; T14: Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP; T15: Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22.1% SL; T16: Flusilazole 12.5% + Carbendazim 25% SE

Table 5. Effect of seed treatments with fungicides and containers on dehydrogenase activity (OD g⁻¹ ml⁻¹) in brinjal seeds

Treatment	3 Months				6 Months				9 Months				12 Months			
	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean	C1	C2	C3	Mean
T1	0.410	0.400	0.390	0.400	0.35	0.33	0.34	0.34	0.296	0.240	0.210	0.249	0.160	0.154	0.149	0.154
T2	0.488	0.496	0.484	0.489	0.379	0.404	0.419	0.401	0.283	0.294	0.284	0.287	0.198	0.190	0.204	0.197
T3	0.460	0.431	0.457	0.449	0.35	0.342	0.3	0.331	0.230	0.230	0.267	0.242	0.155	0.118	0.163	0.145
T4	0.430	0.421	0.428	0.426	0.309	0.302	0.342	0.318	0.238	0.220	0.230	0.229	0.142	0.140	0.159	0.147
T5	0.420	0.450	0.420	0.430	0.324	0.318	0.323	0.322	0.258	0.240	0.272	0.257	0.174	0.172	0.148	0.165
T6	0.452	0.420	0.410	0.427	0.341	0.332	0.341	0.338	0.212	0.243	0.212	0.222	0.166	0.161	0.139	0.155
T7	0.432	0.410	0.387	0.410	0.328	0.343	0.327	0.333	0.202	0.238	0.251	0.230	0.170	0.166	0.162	0.166
T8	0.452	0.460	0.389	0.434	0.31	0.311	0.314	0.312	0.198	0.241	0.236	0.225	0.172	0.152	0.154	0.159
T9	0.450	0.420	0.419	0.430	0.312	0.361	0.302	0.325	0.190	0.213	0.229	0.211	0.147	0.147	0.172	0.155
T10	0.432	0.430	0.399	0.420	0.329	0.347	0.312	0.329	0.228	0.267	0.251	0.249	0.167	0.166	0.159	0.164
T11	0.416	0.430	0.466	0.437	0.348	0.311	0.321	0.327	0.230	0.238	0.221	0.230	0.162	0.186	0.168	0.172
T12	0.451	0.421	0.405	0.426	0.36	0.323	0.315	0.333	0.240	0.271	0.243	0.251	0.171	0.181	0.157	0.170
T13	0.412	0.394	0.387	0.398	0.317	0.322	0.325	0.321	0.242	0.278	0.231	0.250	0.168	0.154	0.175	0.166
T14	0.420	0.387	0.433	0.413	0.301	0.328	0.349	0.326	0.251	0.268	0.254	0.258	0.151	0.167	0.158	0.159
T15	0.418	0.412	0.437	0.422	0.309	0.356	0.337	0.334	0.238	0.271	0.211	0.240	0.162	0.159	0.156	0.159
T16	0.420	0.456	0.401	0.426	0.342	0.329	0.317	0.329	0.222	0.217	0.198	0.212	0.149	0.170	0.167	0.162
Mean	0.435	0.427	0.420		0.332	0.335	0.330		0.235	0.248	0.238		0.163	0.160	0.162	
CD (P=0.05)	C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T		C	T	C×T	
	0.009	0.02	0.035		0.008	0.012	0.021		0.009	0.022	0.038		0.007	0.019	0.021	

C1: Metal box; C2: Cloth bag; C3: Plastic zipling bag (40 microns)

T1: Untreated (Control); T2: Carbendazim 75% WP; T3: Tebuconazole 2 DS; T4: Difenoconazole 25% EC; T5: Propiconazole 25% EC; T6: Tricyclazole 75% WP; T7: Flusilazole 40% EC; T8: Azoxystrobin 23% SC; T9: Kitazine 48% EC; T10: Propineb 70% WP; T11: Dimethomorph 50% WP; T12: Chlorothalonil 78.2% WP; T13: Captan 70% + Hexaconazole 5% WP; T14: Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63 % WP; T15: Famoxadone 16.6% + Cymoxanil 22.1% SL; T16: Flusilazole 12.5% + Carbendazim 25% SE

plastic zipling bag with carbendazim was found better than others. The results are in accordance with the findings [16,17].

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of present investigation, it is concluded that the electrical conductivity of seeds increases and dehydrogenase activity of seeds decreases with the passage of time respectively. The seeds treated with flusilazole and carbendazim (2g kg⁻¹ seed) in case of chilli and brinjal and stored in metal-box were found better for maintenance of higher seed quality parameters [electrical conductivity and dehydro-genase activity]. The study also suggested that use of appropriate packaging material and seed treatment could be useful to prolong the storage life of chilli and brinjal seeds.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Final Estimate: 2016-17. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India; 2017.
2. Dhar MK, Sharma R, Koul A, Kaul S. Development of fruit color in Solanaceae: A story of two biosynthetic pathways. Briefings in Functional Genomics. 2014; 14(3):199-212.
3. Daunay MC, Aubert S, Frary A, Doganlar S, Lester RN, Barendse G, Jullian E. Eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) fruit color: pigments, measurements and genetics. In Proceedings of the 12th EUCARPIA Meeting on Genetics and Breeding of Capsicum and Eggplant. 2004;108-116.
4. Tanweer A. Effect of new fungicide on viability of rice and sorghum seeds. Pestology. 1982;6:9-10.
5. Islam MZ. Effect of seed treatment with mehagoni seed and neem extract on the prevalence of seed borne pathogens of okra. M.Sc Thesis, Dept. of Pl. Path., Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh; 2006.
6. Kumar S, Jakhar SS. Effect of seed treatments and containers on chilli seed viability. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2019;1-10.
7. Anonymous. International rules for seed testing (ISTA) Seed Sci. & Technol. 1999; 23(Suppl.):1-334.
8. Kittock DL, Law AG. Relationship of seedling vigour to respiration and tetrazolium reduction in germinating wheat seeds. Agro. J. 1968;60:268-288.
9. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, 4th Ed., ICAR, New Delhi; 1985.
10. Patil AK. Influence of container on storability of chickpea. M.Sc (Agri) Thesis. Univ. of Agri. Sci. Bangalore, Karnataka; 2001.
11. Hunje RV. Studies on seed production and post-harvest technique in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka; 2002.
12. Wilson JT, Geneve RH. The impact of film coating and initial water uptake and imbibitional chilling injury in high and low vigour SH-2 sweet corn seeds. Seed Sci. & Technol. 2004;32:271-281.
13. Vammadevappa H. Studies in seed viability and vigour in relation to storage in soybean. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ of Agri. Sci. Bangalore; 1998.
14. Shashibhaskar MS, Vinutha KS, Nagabhushan Vasudevan SN, Ramanjinappa V. Seed quality as influenced by seed pelleting and containers during storage in Tomato. Plant Archives. 2012;12(2):1101 -1008.
15. Pavankumar P. Studies on the effect of threshing methods, fungicidal seed treatment and packaging material on seed quality and storability of soybean. M.Sc (Agri) Thesis. Univ. of Agri. Sci. Bangalore; 2000.
16. Kavitha M, Deshpande VK. Vyakaranahal BS, Awakkanavar JS. Yashoda Hegde, Mathad JC. Seed pelleting with organic and inorganic inputs for vigour and viability in chilli seeds. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2009;22(2):15-19.

17. Surya Kumari S, Umajyothi K, Giridhar K, Vijayalakshmi T, Rajani A. Venkata Ramana C, Naram Naidu L. Influence of temperature and relative humidity on viability of coated seeds of chilli under stored conditions. IOSR, J. Agric. Veter. Sci., (IOSRJAVS). 2012;7: 40–44.

© 2019 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49256>